Flowers and insects. XV.1

CHARLES ROBERTSON.

POLYGONUM Tourn.-For the present I withhold the consideration of the mode of pollination and of the copious special literature and contribute lists of insect visitors of the two following species.

POLYGONUM PENNSYLVANICUM L.—The visitors observed on nine days between Aug. 8th and Sept. 16th, are as fol-

lows:

HYMENOPTERA—Apidæ: (1) Apis mellifica L. &, ab.; (2) Bombus sep aratus Cr. &; (3) B. americanorum F. &; (4) B. virginicus Oliv. &, ab.; (5) Megachile brevis Say &; Andrenidæ: (6) Andrena asteris Rob. &; (7) Agapostemon radiatus Say &; (8) Augochlora viridula Sm. 9; (9) A pura Say 2; (10) Halictus fasciatus Nyl. 2; (11) H. pilosus Sm. 2; (12) H. confusus Sm. 2; (13) H. stultus Cr. 2; Vespidæ: (14) Polistes pallipes Lep.; (15) P. rubiginosus Lep.; (16) P. metricus Say; (17) P. annularis L.; Eumenidæ: (18) Odynerus tigris Sauss., freq.; (19) O. capra Sauss., freq.; Crabronidæ: (20) Crabro interruptus Lep.; (21) C. 6-maculatus Say; Philanthidæ: (22) Cerceris clypeata Dlb.; Larridæ: (23) Ancistromma distincta Sm.; Sphecidæ: (24) Ammophila intercepta Lep.; (25) Chlorion caeruleum Dru.; (26) Priononyx atrata Lep.; Pompilidæ: (27) Pompilus philadelphicus Lép.; (28) Priocnemis fulvicornis Cr.; (29) Planiceps niger Cr.; Scoliidæ: (30) Myzine sexcincta F.-all sucking.

DIPTERA—Bombylidæ: (31) Sparnopolius fulvus Wd.; Syrphidæ: (32) Syrphus ribesii L.; (33) S. americanus Wd., freq.; (34) Mesograpta polita Say; (35) M. marginata Say; (36) Eristalis tenax L.; (37), E. aeneus F.; (38) Tropidia quadrata Say; (39) Syritta pipiens L.; Tachinidæ: (40) Cistogaster immaculata Mcq.; (41) Jurinia smaragdina Mcq. ab.; (42) J. apicifera Wlk.; (43) Micropalpus fulgens Mg.; (44) Frontina acroglossoides Twns.; (45) F. flavicauda Riley; (46) Atrophopoda singularis Twns.; Sarcophagidæ: (47, 48) Sarcophaga spp.; Muscidæ: (49) Graphomyia sp., freq.; (50) Lucilia caesar L.; (51) L. cornicina F.; (52)

Compsomyia macellaria F.—all sucking.

LEPIDOPTERA — Rhopalocera: (53) Pieris protodice B.-L.; (54) P rapæ L.; (55) Colias philodice Gdt.; (56) Chrysophanus thoe B.-L.; (57) Pamphila cernes B.-L.; Heterocera: (58) Heliothis armiger Hüb.; (59) Scepsis fulvicollis Hüb.—all sucking.

COLEOPTERA — Lampyridæ: (60) Chauliognathus pennsylvanicus

DeG., s., ab.

POLYGONUM HYDROPIPEROIDES Michx. - The following visitors were observed Aug. 30th and Sept. 20th:

Contributions to an account of the ecological relations of the entomophilous flora and the anthophilous insect fauna of the neighborhood of Carlinville. Illinois.

HYMENOPTERA—Apidæ: (1) Apis mellifica L., \$\,\(\g\); (2) Ceratina dupla Say 9; (3) Megachile brevis Say 8; (4) M. mendica Cr. 9; Andrenidæ: (5) Andrena solidaginis Rob. 2; (6) Agapostemon radiatus Say & 2; (7) Halictus coriaceus Sm. &, freq.; (8) H. lerouxii Lep. 2; (9) H. fasciatus Nyl. 2; (10) Colletes armata Pttn. 3; (11) C. eulophi Rob. 3; (12) C. americana Cr. 82, freq.; (13) C. latitarsis Rob. 2; (14) Prosopis pygmaea Cr. 5; Vespidæ: (15) Polistes pallipes Lep.; (16) P. metricus Say; Eumenidæ: (17) Odynerus capra Sauss.; (18) O. dorsalis F.; (19) O. arvensis Sauss.; Crabronidæ: (20) Crabro texanus Cr.; (21) C. trifasciatus Say; (22) Thyreopus tumidus Pack.; (23) Anacrabro ocellatus Pack.; (24) Oxybelus 4-notatus Say; (25) O. emarginatus Say; Philanthidæ: (26) Philanthus ventilabris F.; (27) P. punctatus Say; (28) Eucerceris zonatus Say: (29) Cerceris fumipennis Say; (30) C. kennicottii Cr.; Nyssonidæ: (31) Gorytes phaleratus Say; Larridæ: (32) Astata bicolor Say; (33) Ancistromma distincta Sm.; (34) Tachytes aurulentus F.; Sphecidæ: (35) Pelopoeus cementarius Dru.; (36) Isodontia philadelphica Lep.; (37) Sphex ichneumonea L.; (38) Priononyx thomæ F.; (39) P. atrata Lep.; Pompilidæ: (40) Pompilus philadelphicus Lep.; (41) P. algidus Sm.; (42) P. biguttatus F.; (43) P. navus Cr.; (44) Ceropales fraterna Sm.; Scoliidæ: (45) Tiphia tarda Say; (46) Myzine sexcincta F.; Mutillidæ: (47) Sphaerophthalma macra Cr.; Chrysididæ: (48) Holopyga ventralis Say; (49) Hedychrum wiltii Cr.; (50) H. violaceum Brullé; (51) Chrysis texana Grib.; (52) C. nitidula F.

DIPTERA—Bombylidæ: (53) Systoechus vulgaris Lw.; Conopidæ: (54) Conops brachyrrhynchus Mcq.; Syrphidæ: (55) Paragus tibialis Fll.; (56) Eristalis bastardi Mcq.; (57) E. flavipes Wlk.; (58) Tropidia quadrata Say; (59) Syritta pipiens L.; Tachinidæ: (60) Jurinia apicifera Wlk.: (61) Frontina acroglossoides Twns.; Sarcophagidæ: (62-64) Sarcophaga spp.; Muscidæ: (65) Lucilia caesar L.; (66) L. cornicina F.; (67)

Compsomyia macellaria F.; Anthomyidæ: (68) Coenosia sp.

Coleoptera—Coccinellidæ: (69) Coccinella 9-notata Hbst.; Lampyridæ: (70) Chauliognathus pennsylvanicus DeG.; Chrysomelidæ: (71)
Disonycha limbicollis Lec. v. pallipes Cr.; Curculionidæ: (72) Listronotus caudatus Say.

DIRCA PALUSTRIS L.—This is a low shrub blooming quite early, March 18th to April 13th, and bearing small greenish yellow flowers which appear before the leaves. At the ends of the branchlets are situated buds of about four hairy scales enclosing, in cases observed by me, three flower-buds and a leaf-bud. The flowers are pendulous and are sheltered by the bud scales which form a hood above them.

The calyx tube is about 5^{mm} long and is truncate, with obscure lobes. The bottom of the tube is completely filled by the ovary so that with a proboscis 4^{mm} long a bee may obtain all the nectar, which I think is secreted by the tube. For the distance of about 1^{mm} from the ovary to the point where the stamens are inserted the tube is narrow. Above that point it is wider but is obstructed by the eight filaments and the

style. The anthers are exserted about 2mm beyond the mouth of the tube, the alternate ones being somewhat shorter. The

stigma is advanced about 2mm further.

In a bud which had just begun to open I found that the flowers had the anthers reaching just to the mouth, but the stigmas advanced 2^{mm} beyond. The anthers were closed but the stigmas were receptive. There was thus an appearance of proterogyny, but it must be short-lived, for all of the other flowers which I observed had the anthers dehiscent, the larger ones, however, shedding their pollen first. The arrangement for cross-pollination is the simple one, common in pendulous flowers, of the stigma being in advance of the anthers. Pollination between flowers of the same plant may occur, but I think there is little chance of self-pollination.

As noted above, the calyx has obscure lobes, and my examination of early cases, in which the open mouths of the tubes were crowded with the swollen anthers, leads me to believe that the abortion of the lobes is correlated with the fact that the young flowers are protected by the scales which form the common envelope of the leaf-bud and the flower

cluster.

The pendulous position of the flowers, the comparatively deep, narrow tube, and the early blooming time convince me that the flowers are adapted to the smaller bees. The following list of visitors, observed March 21st, confirms this view:

HYMENOPTERA—Apidæ: (1) Ceratina dupla Say &; (2) C. tejonensis Cr. &: (3) Osmia lignaria Say &; (4) Nomada maculata Cr. &; Andrenidæ: (5) Halictus sp. &; (6) H. zephyrus Sm. &; (7) H. confusus Sm. &; (8) Augochlora labrosa Say &; (9) Andrena rugosa Rob. &; (10) Colletes inaequalis Say &—all s.

LEPIDOPTERA-Nymphalidæ: (11) Vanessa antiopa L., s.

EUPHORBIA L.—As in the case of Polygonum, I omit remarks upon the mode of pollination and references to the literature.

EUPHORBIA COROLLATA L.—The stems grow from 6 to 10^{din} high and are terminated by large umbel-like clusters with white involucres which make it the most conspicuous of our Euphorbias.

It was observed in bloom from May 24th to Sept. 27th. The following list, consisting mainly of flies, on which the plant seems to depend, with the exception of no. 1, was observed and I. I.

served on July 25th:

DIPTERA-Bombylidæ: (1) Anthrax alternata Say; Syrhpidæ: (2) Paragus tibialis Fll.; (3) P. bicolor F.; (4) Pipiza pulchella Will.; (5) Chrysogaster nitida Wd.; (6) Allograpta obliqua Say; (7) Spaerophoria cylindrica Say; (8) Syrıtta pipiens L.; Tachinidæ: (9) Cistogaster immaculata Mcq.; (10) Miltogramma argentifrons Twns.; Muscidæ: (11) Lucilia cornicina F.; (12) Cyrtoneura sp.

HYMENOPTERA — Andrenidæ: (13) Prosopsis pygmaea Cr. 2; Pom-

pilidæ: (14) Pompilus relativus Fox.—all sucking.

HEMIPTERA--Coreidæ: (15) Chariesterus antennator F., s.

SALIX Tourn.—The flowers of willows are dioecious and entomophilous, but Warming (21) regards S. herbacea and some other species as anemophilous in Greenland. In the Alps, according to Müller (15), S. herhacea secretes abundant nectar and is visited by insects. Kerner (24) observed some species to be proterogynous, with the result that at first they could only receive pollen from flowers of other species and

consequently produced hybrids.

Sprengel (I) regarded the staminate catkins as being more conspicuous than the pistillate in order that the latter might thus be more likely to be visited by insects which had first become dusted with pollen from the staminate flowers. While it is a fact that the staminate catkins are more attractive to insects, and, in spite of Bonnier's (II) statements to the contrary, are more abundantly visited by them, it can hardly be maintained that the increased conspicuousness was developed on this account, for, as claimed by MacLeod (27), the staminate flowers of anemophilous plants are also more conspicuous than the others. I have often noticed that the catkins of Populus monilifera were quite red or yellow, making them more brightly colored than in any of our species of Salix.

From their readily accessible nectar and exposed pollen, the catkins are especially attractive to the less specialized bees (Andrenidæ) and to the flower flies (Syrphidæ) and in most of the cases given in the table these are the most abundant guests, together forming a majority of all the visitors. Except for the services of these insects there seems to be little reason why the flowers should bloom early, for all of the other insect groups, except the Empidæ, have more species later in the season. I suspect that, whenever a satisfactory list of visitors of a willow is made out, it will show a preponderance of Andrenidæ and Syrphidæ, unless there is some peculiarity in the insect fauna of the region.

The following table gives the results of observations made

in different regions in cases in which the insects have been identified:

The second of the second of the	A TOTAL COST OF STREET, AND ST		A	OTHER	OTH	APID	ANDRENIDÆ	SYRPE	OTHER	OTHER
			49	38		8				5 7
any Mi	üller (6, 12	, 17)	61	52	9	19	44	17	16	8
	ew (20)			100000			ī			. 241
any. Lo	ew (28) ew (20, 28	21	II							
any. Lo	ew (20))	1							
any. Lo	ew (28)			î				100		. 241
any Lo	ew (28)		1	200	1000		1			
any Mi	iller (12).		2	3		I	2		2	
any Mi	üller (12).		2	4	I	1000		C C C C C C		
any Mi	üller (6, 17	7)	4	6	I	4	4	.:	2	_
erney Ve	rhoeff (26)			26	2	4	1	2	14	1
ers. Ma	ac Leod (2	7)	15		5	0	12	3	100000	
M:	iller (v.	7)	7	5					4	1
	iller (15)	11		7		1				1
Mi	iller (15)			T		1.				
	ers Ma ers Ma Mi	ers Mac Leod (2 ers Mac Leod (2 Müller (15, 1 Müller (15)	ers Mac Leod (27) ers Mac Leod (27) Müller (15, 17) Müller (15)	ers Mac Leod (27) 7 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 Müller (15, 17) Müller (15)	ers Mac Leod (27) 7 28 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 Müller (15, 17) 2 Müller (15)	ers Mac Leod (27) 15 28 5 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 Müller (15, 17) 2 Müller (15)	ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 5 6 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 1 Müller (15, 17) 7 1	ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 5 6 12 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 1 3 Müller (15, 17) 2 1	ers Mac Leod (27) 15 28 5 6 12 3 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 1 3 4 Müller (15, 17) 2	ers Mac Leod (27) 15 28 5 6 12 3 15 ers Mac Leod (27) 7 5 1 3 4 4 Müller (15, 17) 2 1

Those species which bloom before the leaves appear—as in the two following cases—are more abundantly visited because they have fewer competitors, and because their flowers are less concealed by the leaves.

SALIX CORDATA Muhl. blooms from March 18th to April 23d. On April 9-11th, 14th, 17th, 18th, and 20th the following

ing insects were taken on the flowers:

Hymenoptera—Apidæ: (1) Ceratina dupla Say &, s., freq.; (2) Osmillignaria Say &, s.; (3) Nomada sayi Rob. &, s., very ab.; (4) N. maculati Cr. &, s., very ab.; (5) N. luteola Lep. &, s., ab.; (6) N. luteoloides Rob. s.; (7) N. articulata Sm. &, s.; (8) N. integra Rob. &, s., ab.; Andrew dæ: (9) Andrena erythrogaster Ashm. &, s., and c. p., ab., in cop.; (10) A. sayi Rob. &, s., ab.. in cop.; (11) A. salicis Rob. &, s. and c. p., ab., in cop.; (12) A. illinoensis Rob. &, s. and c. p., ab., in cop.; (13) A. flavo-clypeats Sm. &, s., ab., in cop.; (14) A. cressonii Rob. &, s., ab.; (15) A. nudi Rob. &, s.; (16) A. rugosa Rob. &, s.; (17) A. erythronii Rob. &, s.; (18) A. forbesii Rob. &, s.; (19) A. hippotes Rob. &, s.; (20) A. mariæ Rob. &, s. and c. p., ab.: in cop.; (21) A. claytoniæ Rob. &, s., ab.; (22) A. matidibularis Rob. &, s.; (23) A. pruni Rob. &; (24) Panurgus? andrenoide

Cr. 89, s., very ab., in cop.; (25) Agapostemon radiatus Say 9, s.; (26) Augochlora similis Rob. 2, s.; (27) A. pura Say 2, s.; (28) Halictus foxii Rob. 9, s., ab.: (29) H. forbesii Rob. 9, s., (30) H. lerouxii Lep. 9, s., freq.; (31) H. pilosus Sm. 2, s., freq.; (32) H. zephryus Sm. 2, s.; (33) H. confusus Sm. 2, s.; (34) H. stultus Cr. 2, s.; (35) Sphecodes arvensis Pttn. 2, s.; (36) Colletes inaequalis Say 5, s.; Vespidæ: (37) Polistes rubiginosus Lep., s.; Pompilidæ: (38) Priocnemis conicus Say, s.; Ichneumonidæ: (39) Pimpla sp.; Tenthredinidæ: (40) Nematus vertebratus Say; (41) N. luteotergum Nort.; (42) Dolerus arvensis Say, s., ab; (43)

D. bicclor Bv., s., freq.

DIPTERA-Simulidæ: (44) Simulium sp. s.; Empidæ: (45) Empis otiosa Coq., s.; (46) Rhamphomyia gilvipilosa Coq., s.; Conopidæ: (47) Myopa vesiculosa Say, s.; (48) M. pilosa Will.; Syrphidæ: (49) Psilota buccata Mcq.; (50) Chrysogaster pictipennis Will., ab.; (51) Chilosia sp.; (52) Melanostoma obscurum Say; (53) Platychirus hyperboreus Staeg.; (54) P. quadratus Say, freq.; (55) Syrphus ribesii L.; (56) S. americanus Wd., ab.; (57) Sphaerophoria cylindrica Say; (58) Chalcomyia aerea Lw.; (59) Brachyopa vacua O. S.; (60) Eristalis aeneus F., ab.; (61) E. dimidiatus Wd., ab.; (62) E. transversus Wd.; (63) E. flavipes Wlk.; (64) Helophilus similis Mcq., ab.; (65) Tropidia mamillata Lw.; (66) Brachypalpus rileyi Will., ab.; (67) B. frontosus Lw., very ab.; (68) Xylota fraudulosa Lw., ab.; (69) Syritta pipiens L.; Tachinidæ: (70) Gonia frontosa Say, ab.; (71) G. exul Will.; Sarcophagidæ: (72) Cynomyia sp., ab.; Muscidæ: (73) Lucilia cornicina F., ab.; Anthomyidæ: (74) Chortophila sp.; Cordyluridæ: (75) Scatophaga squalida Mg., ab.; Sciomyzidæ: (76) Tetanocera sp.; (77) T. pictipes Lw.; Lonchaeidæ: (78) Lonchaea sp.; (79) L. polita Say; Sepsidæ: (80) Sepsis sp.; Oscinidæ: (81) Oscinis sp.; M. acalyptratæ: (82) sp.—all s. or f. p.

Coleoptera—Chrysomelidæ: (83) Orsodachna atra Ahr., ab.; (84) Lina lapponica L., ab.; (85) Galeruca tuberculata Say, freq.; Oedemer-

idæ: (86) Asclera puncticollis Say, freq.—all s. or f. p. HEMIPTERA—Capsidæ: (87) Lygus pratensis L. s.

SALIX HUMILIS Marsh.—This species was observed in bloom from the 18th of March to the 21st of April. On March 18th and April 6-12th, 14th, 17th, 20th and 21st

the following visitors were noted: HYMENOPTERA—Apidæ: (1)Apis mellifica L. v, s. and c. p., ab.; (2) Bombus virginicus Oliv. 2; (3) Ceratina dupla Say &, freq.; Andrenidæ: (4) Andrena vicina Sm. 52, ab.; (5) A. erythrogaster Ashm 5; (6) A. Salicis Rob. 82, s., freq.; (7) A. illinoensis Rob. 8; (8) A. erythronii Rob. 82; (9) A. cressonii Rob. 8; (10) A. flavo-clypeata Sm. 8; (11) A. rugosa Rob. 5; (12) A. forbesii Rob. 2; (13) Agapostemon radiatus Say 9; (14) Augochlora pura Say 9; (15) Halictus arcuatus Rob. 9; (16) H. forbesii Rob. 9; (17) H. coriaceus Sm. 9; (18) H. lerouxii Lep. 9, ab.; (19) H. fasciatus Nyl. 2; (20) H. confusus Sm. 2; (21) H. pruino-Sus Rob. 2; (22) Sphecodes arvensis Pttn. 2; (23) Colletes inaequalis Say 52, ab.; Ichneumonidæ: (24) Ichneumon funestus Cr.; (25) Colpognathus helvus Cr.: Tenthredinidæ: (26) Dolerus arvensis Say, ab.; (27) D. bicolor Br., ab.; (28) D. sericeus Say—all s.

DIPTERA-Syrphidæ: (29) Syrphus americanus Wd.; (30) Sphaerophoria cylindrica Say; (31) Eristalis aeneus F.; (32) E. dimidiatus Wd.,

7-Vol. XXI.-No. 2.

ab.; (33) E. latifrons Lw.; (34) Helophilus similis Mcq., ab.; (35) Brachy-palpus frontosus Lw., ab., Tachinidæ: (36) Gonia frontosa Say, ab.; Sarcophagidæ: (37) Cynomyia sp., ab.; Muscidæ: (38) Lucilia caesar L.; (39) Lucilia cornicina F., ab.; Anthomyidæ: (40-41) Chortophila spp.; (42) Hyetodosia 4-notata Mg.; Cordyluridæ: (43) Scatophaga squalida Mg., ab.; Sepsidæ: (44) Sepsis sp., ab.—all s.

Coleoptera—Coccinellidæ: (45) Hippodamia parenthesis Say; Lampyridæ: (46) Ellychnia corrusca L.; Chyrsomelidæ: (47) Orsodachna

atra Ahr., ab.—all s.

HEMIPTERA—Lygaeidæ: (48) Lygaeus turcicus F.; Pentatomidæ: (49) Euschistus variolaris P. B. (det. by Uhler); Capsidæ: (50) Lygus pratensis L.—all s.

LEPIDOPTERA—Rhopalocera: (51) Vanessa antiopa L., s.

The staminate flowers are so thoroughly monopolized by hive-bees that other insects are almost entirely absent. Accordingly, most of the insects in the list were taken on the pistillate flowers which they visited only for nectar.

On the literature of Salix see:

(1) Sprengel, Das entdeckte Geheimniss 31. 437-8. 1793. S. capres visitors, etc.—(2) Hildebrand, Geschlechter-vertheilung bei den Pflanzen 9. 12. 1867. Diclinism.—(3) Axell, Om anordningarna för de fanerogama växternas befruktning 47, 62, 93, 113. 1869. S. pentandra cinerea, nigricans.—(4) White, Winter fertilization by agency of insects Journ. Bot. N. S. 1: 48, F 1872. Visitors of & and & fls.—(5) Delpino, Ulteriori osservazioni Pt. II. fasc. 2: (154). 1875. Atti d. Soc. Ital.d. Sci. Nat. in Milano. 16: 302. 1873. Lit. (1) and (4).—(6) Müller, Be fruchtung der Blumen 149-50. 1873.— (7) Kerner, Die Schutzmittel des Pollens 50. 1873.—(8) Kerner, Die Schutzmittel der Blüthen gegen unberufene Gäste. 1876. S. daphnoides, Wachsüberzüge. (Just Bot. Jahresbericht 4: 942).—(9) Meehan, On self-fertilization and cross-fer tilization of flowers. Penn Monthly. N 1876. S. caprea. Sep. pamph let 1877. (Just 4: 939).—(10) H. H., Fertilization of Salix repens. Na ture 16: 184. 1877. Visitors. (Just 5: 746). — (11) Bonnier, Les Nectaires. Ann. d. Sci. Nat. Bot. 8: 39, 49-50, 57, 70-1. 1879. Sev. eral spp., visitors, etc. — (12) Müller, Weitere Beobachtungen. Il Verh. d. naturhist. Ver. d. preuss. Rheinl. u. Westf. 1879: 210.—(13) Behrens, Biologische Fragmente. Jahresbericht d. Naturw. Gesellschaft zu Elberfeld. 1880. S. repens.—(14) Delpino, Proporzione delle piante anemofile ed entomofile nelle isole. Revista Botanica 1880: 50-2. Lit. (13) (Just 81: 190).—(15) Müller, Alpenblumen 162-3. 1881. —(16) Mez, Geschlechtsänderung einer Weide. Deutsch. bot. Monatschr. 1: 93. 1883. S. purpurea × viminalis. (Just 11: 483)-(17)
Müller Fortilization S. Durpurea × viminalis. Müller, Fertilization of Flowers 524-6. 1883.—(18) Heinricher, Beiträge zur Pflanzenteratologie und Blütenmorphologie. Sitzber. d. K. Akad. d. Wissensch. Wien 87: 1883. S. caprea, androgynous catkins. 111: 483).—(19) Müller, Die Stellung der Honigbiene in den Blumen welt III. Dans Die Die Stellung der Honigbiene in den Blumen welt III. Deut. Bienenzeit. 39: 157-61. 1883. (Just 111: 476).-(20) Loew, Beobachtungen über den Blumenbesuch von Insekten an Freilandpflanzen des botanischen Gartens zu Berlin. Jahrb. bot. Gartens Berlin 3. 80 Berlin 3: 82, 274, 276. (14, 72, 74). 1884.—(21) Warming, Om bygningen og den formedede karrier (34). 1884.—(21) Warming, Om bygningen og den formedede karrier (34). gen og den formodede bestövningsmaade at nogle grönlandske blom

ster. Oversigt over d. K. D. Vidensk. Selsk. Forhandl. 1886: 116, 131, 156.—(22) Pax, Salicaceæ. Engler u. Prantl. Die nat. Pflanzenfamilien. 14: 33. 1887. (Just 161: 563).—(23) Bulman, The bee and the willow. Sci. Gossip 1889: 130.—(24) Kerner, Die Bedeutung der Dichogamie. Oest. bot. Zeitschrift 40: 2-3. 1890.—(25) Kerner, Pflanzenleben 2: 187, 311-12. 1891. Several spp. (Just 171: 532).—(26) Verhoeff, Blumen und Insekten der Insel Norderney. Nova Acta d. Kais. Leop. Carol. Deutsch. Akad. d. Naturf. 61: 63-8. 1893.—(27) MacLeod, Over de bevruchting der bloemen in het Kempisch gedeelte van Vlaanderen. Bot. Jaarboek 6: 129-33, etc. 1894. — (28) Loew, Blütenbiologische Floristik des mittleren und nördlichen Europa sowie Grönlands. 1894. (11 spp).—(29) Knuth, Blumen und Insekten auf den Nordfriesischen Inseln 131-2. 1894. S. repens.—(30) Weed, Ten New England blossoms and their insect visitors. 1-17. 1895. S. discolor, visitors, etc.

IRIS Tourn. -- In this genus we find regular trilateral nototribe flowers. Each sepal, with a stamen and a style-division, is modified into a form which is almost a functional equivalent of a specialized flower such as we find in the Scrophulariaceæ, etc. The form of the style serves to determine the kind of insect pollinators and secures the application of the pollen to the insect's back. Kerner (5,23) mentions the style of Iris as serving to protect the pollen, without, however, showing the probability of this having anything to do with its development.

Except in the single case mentioned below, the flowers are adapted to bumblebees, but are also visited by other large bees, such as Xylocopa (Delpino 6), and in this country by Synhalonia. I. pseudacorus (Müller 4) presents an interesting case of what Errera and Gevaert (II) call dientomophily having one form adapted to bumblebees and another adapted to Rhingia rostrata, a Syrphid fly. The only other case as yet recorded is that of Aconitum lycoctonum, observed by Aurivillius (see Ludwig 19, 25), which has one form visited by bumblebees and another visited by butterflies. Gibson (28) in an account of the blue-flag, accompanied by a figure evidently of I. versicolor, implies that Iris in general is adapted to bumblebees and large flies. "A large fly" is a rather loose synonym for Rhingia rostrata.

Iris has sometimes been used as a type of hercogamy (ercogame contingente, Delpino 6), but I. sibirica has been shown to be proterandrous (Dodel Port 24, Loew 30). It will be seen below that I. versicolor is also proterandrous to some extent. Meehan (9) records a case in which I. virginica is said to have proved fertile under a net.

IRIS VERSICOLOR L. Larger blue flag.—This flower is described by Professor Goodale in "Wild Flowers of America," 32-35, and is there illustrated by a drawing by Isaac Sprague.

Newly opened flowers show the anthers dehiscent, but the stigmatic lobe is so closely appressed to the summit of the style that the true stigma is not touched by a bee entering the flower. Then in the early stages the tip of the anther lies against the stigma lobe and prevents its being reflexed. Later the style lengthens and the lobe loosens so that the true stigma may be touched. From the above it will be seen that

the flower shows a tendency to proterandry.

The flower is adapted to long-tongued bees. I have seen it visited by Bombus americanorum F. Q, B. pennsylvanicus DeG. Q, and Synhalonia frater Cr. 3Q, ab. I have also seen a beetle, Trichius piger F., enter the flower so as to effect pollination, but this insect cannot reach the nectar. Sometimes butterflies obtain the nectar in an illegitimate way by backing down to the base of the flower and inserting their proboscides between the bases of the "falls" and the style divisions. Chrysophanus thoe B.-L. and Pamphila peckins Kby. were observed stealing the nectar in this way.

The flowers bloom from May 20th to June 14th.

In New Hampshire, Weed (31) saw the flowers visited by bumble-bees, of which the most abundant species was Bombus terricola, and occasionally by some smaller bees. A more frequent visitor was "a good-sized syrphid fly—apparently a species of Rhingia." Several species of skippers (Hesperida) and Sphingidæ stole the nectar from the outside, as described above, Hemaris thysbe sometimes sucking in the legitmate way.

On the pollination of Iris see:

(1) Sprengel, Das entdeckte Geheimniss. 20, 43-4, 69-79. 1793. In pseudacorus, xiphium, germanica, sibirica.—(2) Hildebrand, Geschlechtervertheilung bei den Pflanzen 59. 1867.—(3) Axell, On anordningarna för de fanerogama växternas befruktning 114. 1869. I. pseudicorus—(4) Müller, Befruchung der Blumen 67-70. 1873. Fertilization of Flowers 543-7. 1883. I. pseudacorus.—(5) Kerner, Schutzmittel des Pollens 12. 1873.—(6) Delpino, Ulteriori osservazioni Pt. II. fasc 2. Atti d. Soc. Ital. d. Sci. Nat. in Milano. 16: 196, 201, 217, 220, 235, 263, 282, 340. (48, 53, 69, 72, 87, 115, 134, 192) 1873. 17:—(203-4, 24) 1874. I. aphylla, viscaria, germanica, xiphium, halofila, graminea, floretina, pseudacorus.—(7) Gray, Botany for young people. II. How plans behave 21, 25. 1875.—(8) Lubbock, British wild flowers in relation in insects 176. 1875. I. pseudacorus.—(9) Meehan, On self-fertilization and

cross-fertilization of flowers. Penn Monthly, N 1876. Sep. pamphlet (4). 1877.—(10) Delpino, Dicogamia ed omogamia nelle piante. Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital. 8: 143. 1876.—(11) Errera et Gevaert, Sur la structure et les modes de fecondation des fleurs. Bull. Soc. bot. de Belgique 17: 149. 1878. (Just Bot. Jahresbericht 61: 310)—(12) Hildebrand, Die Farben der Blüthen in ihre jetzigen Variation und früheren Entwickelung 36. 1879. (Just 71: 110)—(13) Dodel-Port, Die Liebe der Blumen. 4, 5: 185-240. 1880. (Just 81: 183)—(14) Gray, Structural Botany, 230. 1880. I. pumila.—(15) Focke, Nägeli's Einwände gegen die Blumentheorie, erläutert an den Nachtfalterblumen. Kosmos 14: 295. 1884. Just 121: 668)—(16) Leow, Beobachtungen über den Blumenbesuch von Insekten an Freilandpflanzen. Jahrb. Bot. Gartens Berlin 3: 84, 96 (16, 28) 1884. I. xiphioides, germanica, sibirica.—(17) Licopoli, Sull polline dell' Iris tuberosa ed altre piante. Rendic. Accad. Sci. Fis. e Mat. Napoli 24:-1885. [No. 8.]-(18) Licopoli, Le pollen de l' Iris tuberosa. Journ. de micrographie 1886: No. 2.—(19) Ludwig, Ein neuer Fall verschiedener Blütenformen bei Pflanzen der nämlichen Art, und ein neues Kriterium der Schmetterlings-und Hummelblumen. Biol. Centralblatt 6: 24. 1887. (Just 151: 426)—(20) Licopoli, Sull polline dell' Iris tuberosa. Atti d. r. Acad. d. Sci. Fis. e Mat. II. 2:- 1888-(21) Pax, Iridaceæ, Engler und Prantl, Die nat. Pflanzenfamilien 10 u. 17: 140-1. 1888. (Just 161: 554)—(22) Loew, Beiträge zur blütenbiologischen Statistik. Verh. Bot. Ver. Prov. Brandenburg 31: 43. 1890. 1. sibirica.—(23) Kerner, Pflanzenleben 2: 93, 111, 173, 197, 247. 1891. I. germanica, odoratissima etc. (Just 171: 528)—(24) Dodel-Port, Zur Kenntniss der Befruchtungserscheinungen bei Iris sibirica. Testsch. z. Feier d. 50 Jahr. Doctorjubiläums der Herren Nägeli u. Kölliker. 1891.—(25) Ludwig, Zur Biologie der. phanerogamischen Süsswasserflora (64) Zacharias, Das Thier- und Pflanzenleben des Süsswassers. 1891.—(26) Mac Leod, De Pyreneeënbloemen en hare bevruchting door der bloemen in het Kempisch gedeelte van Vlaanderen. Bot. Jaarboek 5: 168, 315. 1893. I. pseudacorus.—(28) Gibson, The welcomes of the flowers. Harper's Monthly 88: 560. Mr 1894.—(29) Dodel-Port, Biologischer Atlas der Botanik. Serie "Iris." 1894. I. sibirica, plates and text. (Knuth Bot. Centralblatt 58: 95)—(30) Loew, Blütenbiologische Floristik 64-5, 346 391. I. xiphioides, pseudacorus, sibirica. 1894.—(31) Weed, Ten New England blossoms and their insect visitors 98-104. 1895.

Carlinville, Illinois.